

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.ospto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/509,995	10/05/2004	Jurgen Bauer	47421	4660
1609	7590 07/29/2005		EXAMINER	
ROYLANCE, ABRAMS, BERDO & GOODMAN, L.L.P.			WHITE, RODNEY BARNETT	
1300 19TH STREET, N.W. SUITE 600		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON,, DC 20036			3636	
			DATE MAILED: 07/29/2009	S

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PG 4 was Replaced.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/509,995	BAUER, JURGEN				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INC DATE of this communication one	Rodney B. White	3636				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period in the set of extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dayill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed  ys will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 M	larch 2005.					
_	action is non-final.	•				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
_		•				
Attachment(c)		•				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa	~ (DT()_413)				
2) Notice of Prefisherson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail I					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3636

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

In line 1 of the Abstract, Applicant includes the word "invention", which is improper language for the Abstract. Correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/509,995 Page 3

Art Unit: 3636

## **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "lighting fixture" in claim 11 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Art Unit: 3636

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1- 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hooven (U.S. Patnent No. 394,271).

Hooven teaches the structure as claimed (See Figures 1-4 and the specification).

Claims 1- 3 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pulsifer (U.S. Patnent No. 2,638,152)).

Pulsifer teaches the structure as claimed (See Figures 1-9 and the specification).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hooven In view of O'Connor et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,123,389) and Clough (U.S. Patent No. 6,250,716 B1).

Art Unit: 3636

Hooven teches the structure substantially as claimed but does not teach the side components. However, O'Connor et al and Clough teach side components. It would have been obvious and well within the level of ordinary skill in the art to modify the headrest, as taught by Hooven, to include side components, as taught by O'Connor and Clough, since the side components would provide even greater comfort to the user and accommodate a larger number of users of the headrest who desire various levels of comfort.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hooven in view of O'Connor et al and Clough as applied to claim 7 above, and further in view of .Gaetano et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,126,233).

Hooven in view of O'Connor et al and Clough teaches the structure substantially as claimed but does not teach Lighting fixture in the side components. However, Gaetano et al. Teaches lighting fixtures in side components. It would have been obvious and well within the level of ordinary skill in the art to modify the side components, as taught by Hooven in view of O'Connor et al and Clough, to include a lighting fixture, as taught by Gaetano et al, since it would provide lighting for reading among other things.

Art Unit: 3636

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ito and O'Connor et al teach structure similar to the present invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rodney B. White whose telephone number is (571) 272-6863. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Cuomo can be reached on (571) 272-6856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rodney B. White, Patent Examiner Art Unit 3636 July 25, 2005

PRIMARY EXAMINED